

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>		Application No. 10/603,037 Examiner SRIRAMA CHANNAVAJJALA	Applicant(s) PETCULESCU ET AL. Art Unit 2166
--	--	--	---

All Participants:

(1) SRIRAMA CHANNAVAJJALA.

(2) Joseph F. Oriti, Reg.No. 47,835.

Date of Interview: 8 May 2009

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 8:00 AM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
1,3-6,11,13-16,20,21-24,27,33-36,42-45

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Srirama Channavajjala/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2166

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

A telephone call was made on 08 May 2009 to applicant's representative Attorney, Joseph F. Oriti, Reg.No. 47,835, about the amendment to the claims 4,6,14,16,22,24,34,36,43,45 and cancelling claims:3,5,13,15,21,23,33,35,42,44 , in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment. .